



Attorney Docket No. FUJ00-01013 RAM  
Client/Matter No. 80458.0007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re U.S. Application of:

Glen FOX

Examiner: Khiem D. NGUYEN

Serial No. 09/742,204

Art Unit: 2823

Filed: December 20, 2000

For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCING HIGH  
QUALITY PZT FILMS FOR  
FERROELECTRIC MEMORY  
INTEGRATED CIRCUITS

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the enclosed

1. RCE Transmittal;
2. Amendment Accompanying RCE Transmittal;
3. Petition for 1-Month Extension;
4. Check for \$860.00;
5. Return Card, and

this Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail relating to the above application, were deposited as "Express Mail," Mailing Label No. EL533455096US with the U.S. Postal Service, addressed to: Box RCE, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on February 27, 2003.

February 27, 2003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mailer

February 27, 2003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol W. Burton, Reg. No. 35,465  
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP  
One Tabor Center  
1200 17th Street, Suite 1500  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
(303) 454-2454 Tel  
(303) 899-7333 Fax

RECEIVED  
MAR - 6 2003  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



Attorney Docket No. FUJ00-01013 RAM  
Client/Matter No. 80458.0007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re U.S. Application of:

Glen FOX

Serial No. 09/742,204

Filed: December 20, 2000

For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCING HIGH  
QUALITY PZT FILMS FOR  
FERROELECTRIC MEMORY  
INTEGRATED CIRCUITS

Examiner: Khiem D. NGUYEN

Art Unit: 2823

#14 / *Amended*  
*Approved*  
*8/12/03*

MAR - 6 2003  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RECEIVED

AMENDMENT ACCOMPANYING RCE TRANSMITTAL

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed November 6, 2002, and in support of the Request for Continued Examination filed herewith, please enter the following amendments and consider the remarks which follow.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend claims 1, 12, 27 and 30 according to the attached sheets.

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 4 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of *Miyazawa et al* (US Patent No. 5,953,619) and *Evans et al* (US Patent 6,150,184).

Claims 2-3 and 5-11 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the AAPA in view of *Miyazawa et al* and *Evans et al*, and further in view of *Joshi et al* (US Patent No. 6,322,849) and *Van Buskirk et al* (US Patent No. 6,316,797).